References

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# On invariant distributions of circle diffeomorphisms and a equidistribution theorem for smooth potentials

#### Andrés Navas

Univ. de Santiago de Chile

Bedlewo, June 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Main references

This is essentially a joint work with Michele Triestino (ENS-Lyon):

[NT, 2012] On the invariant distributions of  $C^2$  circle diffeomorphisms with irrational rotation number.

Remarks and related results by/with S. Crovisier and V. Kleptsyn will also be discussed.

## Invariant distributions

- A probability measure is a point in the dual of the space of continuous functions. (Duality is realized by integration.)
- Given a manifold M, a k-distribution on M is a point in the dual space of  $C^k(M)$ .
- A k-distribution L is invariant under a  $C^{k'}$  diffeomorphism  $f: M \to M$ , with  $k' \ge k$ , if for all  $\varphi \in C^k(M)$ :

$$L(\varphi) = L(\varphi \circ f).$$

Extensions and questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### An example

#### Assume that $x_0 \in M$ (1-dimensional) is such that

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}Df^n(x_0)<\infty.$$

Then

$$\varphi \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D\varphi(f^n(x_0)) \cdot Df^n(x_0)$$

defines an invariant 1-distribution.

Extensions and questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

### An example

#### Assume that $x_0 \in M$ (1-dimensional) is such that

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}Df^n(x_0)<\infty.$$

Then

$$\varphi \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D\varphi(f^n(x_0)) \cdot Df^n(x_0)$$

defines an invariant 1-distribution.

This situation arises for hyperbolic-like dynamics. Hence, it is natural to first deal with elliptic-like dynamics...

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## A theorem by A. Avila and A. Kocsard

#### Theorem

If f is a  $C^{\infty}$  circle diffeomorphism with irrational rotation number, then f admits no invariant distribution other than (multiples of) the (unique) invariant measure.

## A theorem by A. Avila and A. Kocsard

#### Theorem

If f is a  $C^{\infty}$  circle diffeomorphism with irrational rotation number, then f admits no invariant distribution other than (multiples of) the (unique) invariant measure.

In dual form:

#### Theorem

For every  $C^k$  function  $\varphi: S^1 \to S^1$  having zero mean with respect to the *f*-invariant measure, there exists a sequence of  $C^k$  functions  $\psi_n: S^1 \to S^1$  such that

$$\psi_{\mathbf{n}} \circ \mathbf{f} - \psi_{\mathbf{n}} \longrightarrow \varphi$$

in the  $C^k$  topology.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Main Results

#### Theorem

If f is a  $C^{1+bv}$  circle diffeomorphism of irrational rotation number, then f carries no invariant 1-distribution other than the invariant measure.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Main Results

#### Theorem

If f is a  $C^{1+bv}$  circle diffeomorphism of irrational rotation number, then f carries no invariant 1-distribution other than the invariant measure.

#### Theorem

The theorem above is sharp in what concerns regularity of f. More precisely, there are  $C^1$  "counterexamples" f that:

– preserve an invariant Cantor set (Denjoy,...); these can be made  ${\cal C}^{1+\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < 1.$ 

– are minimal (Kodama-Matsumoto); remains unknown in class  $C^{1+\alpha}$ .

Extensions and questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### An Equidistribution Theorem

Theorem If  $f \in C^{1+bv}$  and  $\varphi$  is of class  $C^1$ , then (for  $\alpha \sim \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ )

$$S_{q_n}(\varphi) - q_n \int_{\mathrm{S}^1} \varphi d\mu \longrightarrow 0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

### An Equidistribution Theorem

Theorem  
If 
$$f \in C^{1+b\nu}$$
 and  $\varphi$  is of class  $C^1$ , then (for  $\alpha \sim \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ )  
 $S_{q_n}(\varphi) - q_n \int_{S^1} \varphi d\mu \longrightarrow 0.$ 

Recall:

$$\frac{S_n(\varphi)}{n} \longrightarrow \int_{\mathrm{S}^1} \varphi d\mu, \quad \varphi \text{ continuous (Weyl-Birkhoff)}$$

Extensions and questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### An Equidistribution Theorem

Theorem  
If 
$$f \in C^{1+b\nu}$$
 and  $\varphi$  is of class  $C^1$ , then (for  $\alpha \sim \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ )  
 $S_{q_n}(\varphi) - q_n \int_{S^1} \varphi d\mu \longrightarrow 0.$ 

Recall:

$$rac{S_n(arphi)}{n} \longrightarrow \int_{\mathrm{S}^1} arphi d\mu, \quad arphi ext{ continuous (Weyl-Birkhoff)}$$
  
 $\left|S_{q_n}(arphi) - q_n \int_{\mathrm{S}^1} arphi d\mu 
ight| \le \operatorname{var}(arphi), \quad arphi \in C^{bv} ext{ (Denjoy-Koksma)}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Another consequence

#### Theorem

If f is a  $C^2$  circle diffeomorphism with irrational rotation number, then  $f^{q_n}$  converges to the identity in the  $C^1$  topology (M.Herman).

#### Another consequence

#### Theorem

If f is a  $C^2$  circle diffeomorphism with irrational rotation number, then  $f^{q_n}$  converges to the identity in the  $C^1$  topology (M.Herman).

**Proof.** Apply the Equidistribution Theorem to  $\varphi := \log(Df) \in C^1$ . Since

$$\int_{\mathrm{S}^1} \log(Df) d\mu = 0,$$

we get

$$\log(Df^{q_n}) = S_{q_n}(\log(Df)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $f^{q_n} \to id$  in the  $C^0$  topology (Denjoy), we must have  $f^{q_n} \to id$  in the  $C^1$  topology.

•

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Proof of the Equidistribution Theorem

• First, w.l.g., we can (and will) assume that  $\varphi$  has zero mean with respect to the invariant measure.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Proof of the Equidistribution Theorem

• First, w.l.g., we can (and will) assume that  $\varphi$  has zero mean with respect to the invariant measure.

• Let  $\psi_m$  be such that  $\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m \longrightarrow \varphi$  in the  $C^1$  topology. Then:

$$S_{q_n}(\varphi) = S_{q_n}(\varphi - [\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m]) + S_{q_n}(\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m)$$
  
=  $S_{q_n}(\varphi - [\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m]) + \psi_m \circ f^{q_n} - \psi_m.$ 

## Proof of the Equidistribution Theorem

• First, w.l.g., we can (and will) assume that  $\varphi$  has zero mean with respect to the invariant measure.

• Let  $\psi_m$  be such that  $\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m \longrightarrow \varphi$  in the  $C^1$  topology. Then:

$$S_{q_n}(\varphi) = S_{q_n}(\varphi - [\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m]) + S_{q_n}(\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m)$$
  
=  $S_{q_n}(\varphi - [\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m]) + \psi_m \circ f^{q_n} - \psi_m.$ 

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{q_n}(\varphi)| &\leq |S_{q_n}(\varphi - [\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m])| + |\psi_m \circ f^{q_n} - \psi_m| \\ &\leq \operatorname{var}(\varphi - [\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m]) + |\psi_m \circ f^{q_n} - \psi_m| \\ &\leq ||\varphi - [\psi_m \circ f - \psi_m]||_{C^1} + |\psi_m \circ f^{q_n} - \psi_m|. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Extensions and questions

## Proof of the Main Theorem I

An argument that doesn't work:



Extensions and questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Proof of the Main Theorem I

#### An argument that doesn't work: take

$$\psi_n := -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_i(\varphi).$$

Extensions and questions

#### Proof of the Main Theorem I

An argument that doesn't work: take

$$\psi_n := -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_i(\varphi).$$

Then (remarkable identity)

$$\psi_n \circ f - \psi_n = \varphi - \frac{S_n(\varphi)}{n}$$

Hence, if  $\varphi$  has zero mean, then  $\psi_n$  yields the desired approximation of  $\varphi$  by coboundaries in the  $C^0$  topology.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Proof of the Main Theorem II

But: for generic  $\varphi$ , the derivative of  $\psi_n \circ f - \psi_n$  exploses.

#### Proof of the Main Theorem II

But: for generic  $\varphi$ , the derivative of  $\psi_n \circ f - \psi_n$  exploses.

However, for  $\varphi(x) := f(x) - x$ , this works, provided  $f \in C^{1+bv}$ .

#### Proof of the Main Theorem II

But: for generic  $\varphi$ , the derivative of  $\psi_n \circ f - \psi_n$  exploses.

However, for  $\varphi(x) := f(x) - x$ , this works, provided  $f \in C^{1+bv}$ . Indeed, the previous identity becomes

$$\psi_n \circ f - \psi_n = \varphi - \frac{f^n(x) - x}{n}$$

and the derivative of the term  $\frac{f^{q_m}(x)-x}{q_m}$  converges to zero as  $m \to \infty$ , because of Denjoy's inequality.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Proof of the Main Theorem III

A very simple idea: if we wish to  $C^1$ -approximate  $\varphi$  by functions of the form  $\psi \circ f - \psi$ , then  $D\varphi$  should be  $C^0$ -approximable by functions of the form  $\xi \circ f \cdot Df - \xi$ . (Namely, for  $\xi = D\psi$ .)

#### Proof of the Main Theorem III

A very simple idea: if we wish to  $C^1$ -approximate  $\varphi$  by functions of the form  $\psi \circ f - \psi$ , then  $D\varphi$  should be  $C^0$ -approximable by functions of the form  $\xi \circ f \cdot Df - \xi$ . (Namely, for  $\xi = D\psi$ .)

• Key Fact: This  $C^0$ -approximation follows from the fact that  $\int D\varphi = 0$  using the work of R. Douady, J.-C. Yoccoz / A.Katok.

### Proof of the Main Theorem III

A very simple idea: if we wish to  $C^1$ -approximate  $\varphi$  by functions of the form  $\psi \circ f - \psi$ , then  $D\varphi$  should be  $C^0$ -approximable by functions of the form  $\xi \circ f \cdot Df - \xi$ . (Namely, for  $\xi = D\psi$ .)

• Key Fact: This  $C^0$ -approximation follows from the fact that  $\int D\varphi = 0$  using the work of R. Douady, J.-C. Yoccoz / A.Katok.

• If the functions  $\xi$  had zero mean (Leb), this would solve the problem (just by integration).

### Proof of the Main Theorem III

A very simple idea: if we wish to  $C^1$ -approximate  $\varphi$  by functions of the form  $\psi \circ f - \psi$ , then  $D\varphi$  should be  $C^0$ -approximable by functions of the form  $\xi \circ f \cdot Df - \xi$ . (Namely, for  $\xi = D\psi$ .)

- Key Fact: This  $C^0$ -approximation follows from the fact that  $\int D\varphi = 0$  using the work of R. Douady, J.-C. Yoccoz / A.Katok.
- If the functions  $\xi$  had zero mean (Leb), this would solve the problem (just by integration).
- Otherwise, just substract the integral of  $\xi$ ...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Proof of the Main Theorem IV

End of the proof: let  $c_n := \int \xi_n$  for  $\xi_n$  such that

 $\xi_n \circ f \cdot Df - \xi_n \longrightarrow D\varphi.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Proof of the Main Theorem IV

End of the proof: let  $c_n := \int \xi_n$  for  $\xi_n$  such that

$$\xi_n \circ f \cdot Df - \xi_n \longrightarrow D\varphi.$$

Then

$$(\xi_n - c_n) \circ f \cdot Df - (\xi_n - c_n) + c_n(Df - 1) \longrightarrow D\varphi.$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

## Proof of the Main Theorem IV

End of the proof: let 
$$c_n := \int \xi_n$$
 for  $\xi_n$  such that

$$\xi_n \circ f \cdot Df - \xi_n \longrightarrow D\varphi.$$

Then

$$(\xi_n-c_n)\circ f\cdot Df-(\xi_n-c_n)+c_n(Df-1)\longrightarrow D\varphi.$$

Now recall:  $\psi_{q_m} \circ f - \psi_{q_m} \longrightarrow f(x) - x$  in  $C^1$ , hence

$$D\psi_{q_m} \circ f \cdot Df - D\psi_{q_m} \longrightarrow D(f(x) - x) = Df(x) - 1$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

## Proof of the Main Theorem IV

End of the proof: let 
$$c_n := \int \xi_n$$
 for  $\xi_n$  such that

$$\xi_n \circ f \cdot Df - \xi_n \longrightarrow D\varphi.$$

Then

$$(\xi_n - c_n) \circ f \cdot Df - (\xi_n - c_n) + c_n(Df - 1) \longrightarrow D\varphi.$$

Now recall:  $\psi_{q_m} \circ f - \psi_{q_m} \longrightarrow f(x) - x$  in  $C^1$ , hence

$$D\psi_{q_m} \circ f \cdot Df - D\psi_{q_m} \longrightarrow D(f(x) - x) = Df(x) - 1$$

Thus,

$$(\xi_n - c_n + c_n D\psi_{q_m}) \circ f \cdot Df - (\xi_n - c_n + c_n D\psi_{q_m}) \longrightarrow D\varphi.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

### Proof of the Key Fact

#### Theorem

If  $\int \Phi = 0$ , then  $\Phi$  can be  $C^0$  approximated by functions of the form  $\xi \circ f \cdot Df - \xi$ .

### Proof of the Key Fact

#### Theorem

If  $\int \Phi = 0$ , then  $\Phi$  can be  $C^0$  approximated by functions of the form  $\xi \circ f \cdot Df - \xi$ .

This result is the dual statement of the fact that there are no f-conformal measures other than the Lebesgue measure (which is a result due to Douady, Yoccoz/Katok).

## Proof of the Key Fact

#### Theorem

If  $\int \Phi = 0$ , then  $\Phi$  can be  $C^0$  approximated by functions of the form  $\xi \circ f \cdot Df - \xi$ .

This result is the dual statement of the fact that there are no f-conformal measures other than the Lebesgue measure (which is a result due to Douady, Yoccoz/Katok).

#### Definition

A measure  $\nu$  is *f*-conformal if for every continuous function  $\Psi$ :

$$\int \Psi \circ f \cdot Df \ d\nu = \int \Psi \ d\nu.$$

Statement of results

Proofs

Extensions and questions

#### Group actions

For (finitely-generated) group actions by circle diffeomorphisms without invariant measure, there should be no invariant distribution. This is well established in some cases (real-analytic, free groups), and follows (among others) from recent works with Deroin and Kleptsyn, as well as the work of Filimonov and Kleptsyn: Statement of results

Proofs

Extensions and questions

#### Group actions

For (finitely-generated) group actions by circle diffeomorphisms without invariant measure, there should be no invariant distribution. This is well established in some cases (real-analytic, free groups), and follows (among others) from recent works with Deroin and Kleptsyn, as well as the work of Filimonov and Kleptsyn:

- Minimal actions are ergodic with respect to the ergodic measure.

## Group actions

For (finitely-generated) group actions by circle diffeomorphisms without invariant measure, there should be no invariant distribution. This is well established in some cases (real-analytic, free groups), and follows (among others) from recent works with Deroin and Kleptsyn, as well as the work of Filimonov and Kleptsyn:

- Minimal actions are ergodic with respect to the ergodic measure. (Exceptional minimal sets have zero Lebesgue measure.)

### Group actions

For (finitely-generated) group actions by circle diffeomorphisms without invariant measure, there should be no invariant distribution. This is well established in some cases (real-analytic, free groups), and follows (among others) from recent works with Deroin and Kleptsyn, as well as the work of Filimonov and Kleptsyn:

- Minimal actions are ergodic with respect to the ergodic measure. (Exceptional minimal sets have zero Lebesgue measure.)

- There are no conformal measure other than Leb...

## Conjugacies

The twisted cohomological equation is closely related to the next

#### Question

Let f be a  $C^2$  (even  $C^{\infty}$ ) circle diffeomorphism of irrational rotation number. Can f be  $C^2$ -conjugated to diffeomorphisms arbitrarily  $C^2$ -close to the corresponding rotation ?

In class  $C^1$ , this is known and easy: just use the Cohomological Identity for log(Df).

## Conjugacies

The twisted cohomological equation is closely related to the next

#### Question

Let f be a  $C^2$  (even  $C^{\infty}$ ) circle diffeomorphism of irrational rotation number. Can f be  $C^2$ -conjugated to diffeomorphisms arbitrarily  $C^2$ -close to the corresponding rotation ?

In class  $C^1$ , this is known and easy: just use the Cohomological Identity for log(Df). Less trivial is that such a method works for nilpotent groups:

#### Theorem

Every  $C^1$  action of a nilpotent group on  $S^1$  (resp. [0,1]) is topologically conjugated to actions arbitrarily  $C^1$ -close to actions by rotations (resp. the trivial action).

References

General framework

Statement of results

Proofs

Extensions and questions

# MANY THANKS