# ON GROUPS AS DYNAMICAL OJECTS

Andrés Navas Flores Universidad de Santiago de Chile

> MCA Prize Conference Guanajuato, August 2013

> > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



# "Les mathématiques ne sont qu'une histoire de groupes" (Poincaré).

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

#### "Les mathématiques ne sont qu'une histoire de groupes" (Poincaré).

A group is a set endowed with a multiplication and an inversion satisfying certain formal rules/axioms (Cayley).

Theorem (Cayley)

Every group is a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of a certain space (the group itself / its Cayley graph).

#### "Les mathématiques ne sont qu'une histoire de groupes" (Poincaré).

A group is a set endowed with a multiplication and an inversion satisfying certain formal rules/axioms (Cayley).

## Theorem (Cayley)

Every group is a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of a certain space (the group itself / its Cayley graph).

Vertices: elements of the group.

**Edges:** connect any two elements that differ by (right) multiplication by a generator.



#### "Les mathématiques ne sont qu'une histoire de groupes" (Poincaré).

A group is a set endowed with a multiplication and an inversion satisfying certain formal rules/axioms (Cayley).

## Theorem (Cayley)

Every group is a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of a certain space (the group itself / its Cayley graph).

**Vertices:** elements of the group.

**Edges:** connect any two elements that differ by (right) multiplication by a generator.



・ロット 全部 マート・ キャー

900

#### Theorem (Frucht)

Every finitely-generated group is the full group of symmetries of a certain graph. (There are uncountably many such graphs for any prescribed group.)

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> □豆



#### Theorem (Frucht)

Every finitely-generated group is the full group of symmetries of a certain graph. (There are uncountably many such graphs for any prescribed group.)





# If a group (class of groups) acts nicely on a nice space, then the action should reveal some algebraic structure ( $\rightsquigarrow$ nice theorem).

・ロン ・ 雪 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ ・

Every group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\{0,1\}^{\Gamma}$  by shifting coordinates. For infinite countable  $\Gamma$ , this is a Cantor set. This action is not innocuous.

Every group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\{0,1\}^{\Gamma}$  by shifting coordinates. For infinite countable  $\Gamma$ , this is a Cantor set. This action is not innocuous.



Every group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\{0,1\}^{\Gamma}$  by shifting coordinates. For infinite countable  $\Gamma$ , this is a Cantor set. This action is not innocuous.



The group of piecewise dyadic homeomorphisms of the binary Cantor set is finitely presented and simple (Thompson's group V). It contains a copy of every finite group. Every automorphism is inner (Bleak, Lanoue, Yonah; N).

# R.Thompson's groups

• The elements of V that respect the cyclic order form the subgroup T; this may be seen also as a group of piecewise-affine, orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the circle.

# R.Thompson's groups

• The elements of V that respect the cyclic order form the subgroup T; this may be seen also as a group of piecewise-affine, orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the circle.

• The elements of T that respect the linear order form the subgroup F; this may be seen also as a group of piecewise-affine, orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the unit interval.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# R.Thompson's groups

• The elements of V that respect the cyclic order form the subgroup T; this may be seen also as a group of piecewise-affine, orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the circle.

• The elements of T that respect the linear order form the subgroup F; this may be seen also as a group of piecewise-affine, orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the unit interval.

 $F = \langle f, g \colon [fg^{-1}, f^{-1}gf] = [fg^{-1}, f^{-2}gf^2] = id \rangle.$ 



Given a homeomorphism f of the Cantor set, its *full topological* group is the group of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set that are local restrictions of (positive, trivial or negative) powers of f.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Given a homeomorphism f of the Cantor set, its *full topological* group is the group of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set that are local restrictions of (positive, trivial or negative) powers of f.

#### Theorem (Matui, Grigorchuk-Medynets, Juschenko-Monod)

If f is minimal, then the commutator subgroup of its full topological group is finitely generated, amenable, and simple.

Recall that a group is *amenable* if all its actions by homeomorphisms of compact metric spaces preserve a probability measure. Such a group cannot contain free subgroups.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite order (perhaps uniformly bounded). Is  $\Gamma$  necessarily finite ?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite order (perhaps uniformly bounded). Is  $\Gamma$  necessarily finite ?

• YES for linear groups (Burnside).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite order (perhaps uniformly bounded). Is  $\Gamma$  necessarily finite ?

- YES for linear groups (Burnside).
- The Burnside groups:

 $B(n) := \langle a, b : w^n = id \text{ for all } w \rangle$ 

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite order (perhaps uniformly bounded). Is  $\Gamma$  necessarily finite ?

- YES for linear groups (Burnside).
- The Burnside groups:

 $B(n) := \langle a, b : w^n = id \text{ for all } w \rangle$ 

- B(2), B(3), B(4) and B(6) are finite.



Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite order (perhaps uniformly bounded). Is  $\Gamma$  necessarily finite ?

- YES for linear groups (Burnside).
- The Burnside groups:

 $B(n) := \langle a, b : w^n = id \text{ for all } w \rangle$ 

- B(2), B(3), B(4) and B(6) are finite.

- B(7) should be infinite (hyperbolic; obvious for Gromov).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite order (perhaps uniformly bounded). Is  $\Gamma$  necessarily finite ?

- YES for linear groups (Burnside).
- The Burnside groups:

 $B(n) := \langle a, b : w^n = id \text{ for all } w \rangle$ 



- B(2), B(3), B(4) and B(6) are finite.
- B(7) should be infinite (hyperbolic; obvious for Gromov).
- B(5) should still be infinite (Zelmanov).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite order (perhaps uniformly bounded). Is  $\Gamma$  necessarily finite ?

- YES for linear groups (Burnside).
- The Burnside groups:

 $B(n) := \langle a, b : w^n = id \text{ for all } w \rangle$ 



- B(2), B(3), B(4) and B(6) are finite.
- B(7) should be infinite (hyperbolic; obvious for Gromov).
- B(5) should still be infinite (Zelmanov).
- For odd n > 666, B(n) is infinite (non-amenable; Adian-Novikov).

#### Question (Farb)

Let  $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Homeo}_+(\mathrm{S}^2)$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite (uniformly bounded) order. Must  $\Gamma$  be finite ?

#### Question (Farb)

Let  $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Homeo}_+(S^2)$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite (uniformly bounded) order. Must  $\Gamma$  be finite ?

• For  $Homeo_+(S^1)$ , the answer is affirmative (exercise).

#### Question (Farb)

Let  $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Homeo}_+(S^2)$  be a finitely-generated group in which every element has finite (uniformly bounded) order. Must  $\Gamma$  be finite ?

• For  $Homeo_+(S^1)$ , the answer is affirmative (exercise).

• According to a theorem of Kerékjártó (based on the work of Brouwer), every finite-order homeomorphism of the sphere is conjugate to a rotation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- of the real line: such an action comes from a left-order (folklore).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- of the real line: such an action comes from a left-order (folklore).

– of the circle without a finite orbit: such an action comes from a bounded-cohomology class with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  having a representative taking only the values 0 and 1 (Poincaré-Ghys).

- of the real line: such an action comes from a left-order (folklore).

– of the circle without a finite orbit: such an action comes from a bounded-cohomology class with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  having a representative taking only the values 0 and 1 (Poincaré-Ghys).

#### Question

Does there exist an algebraic characterization of groups that do act faithfully by homeomorphisms of a certain 2-manifold ?



 $B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} : \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ if } |i-j| > 1 \rangle$ 



 $B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} : \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ if } |i-j| > 1 \rangle$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Dehornoy; Nielsen-Thurston)

The braid group  $B_n$  is left-orderable.



 $B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} : \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ if } |i-j| > 1 \rangle$ 

#### Theorem (Dehornoy; Nielsen-Thurston)

The braid group  $B_n$  is left-orderable.

An element is "positive" if it may be written as a word in the generators such that the generator  $\sigma_i$  with smallest index *i* that appears is raised only to positive exponents (ex:  $\sigma_2 \sigma_4^7 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^{-500}$ ).



 $B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} : \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ if } |i-j| > 1 \rangle$ 

#### Theorem (Dehornoy; Nielsen-Thurston)

The braid group  $B_n$  is left-orderable.

An element is "positive" if it may be written as a word in the generators such that the generator  $\sigma_i$  with smallest index *i* that appears is raised only to positive exponents (ex:  $\sigma_1^{-200}\sigma_2\sigma_1$ ).



 $B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} : \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ if } |i-j| > 1 \rangle$ 

#### Theorem (Dehornoy; Nielsen-Thurston)

The braid group  $B_n$  is left-orderable.

An element is "positive" if it may be written as a word in the generators such that the generator  $\sigma_i$  with smallest index *i* that appears is raised only to positive exponents (ex:  $\sigma_1^{-200}\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-200}$ ).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Finitely determined orders

#### Question (Rolfsen)

Is the Dehornoy order on  $B_n$  finitely determined ?
### Finitely determined orders

### Question (Rolfsen)

Is the Dehornoy order on  $B_n$  finitely determined ?

Braid groups do support finitely determined orders (Dubrovina-Dubrovin). These come from decompositions of the form

 $B_n = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \rangle^+ \sqcup \langle a_1^{-1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}^{-1} \rangle^+ \sqcup \{ id \}.$ 

### Finitely determined orders

### Question (Rolfsen)

Is the Dehornoy order on  $B_n$  finitely determined ?

Braid groups do support finitely determined orders (Dubrovina-Dubrovin). These come from decompositions of the form

 $B_n = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \rangle^+ \sqcup \langle a_1^{-1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}^{-1} \rangle^+ \sqcup \{ id \}.$ 



# Some questions/results concerning group-orderability

Question (McCleary)

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

• The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

• The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).

 $\bullet$  No left-order is finitely determined on the free group (McCleary; N),

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

• The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).

• No left-order is finitely determined on the free group (McCleary; N), on free products (Rivas),

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

• The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).

 $\bullet$  No left-order is finitely determined on the free group (McCleary; N), on free products (Rivas), on "nilpotent groups" (N),

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

• The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).

• No left-order is finitely determined on the free group (McCleary; N), on free products (Rivas), on "nilpotent groups" (N), on "solvable groups" (Rivas-Tessera),

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

• The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).

• No left-order is finitely determined on the free group (McCleary; N), on free products (Rivas), on "nilpotent groups" (N), on "solvable groups" (Rivas-Tessera), amenable ?...

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

• The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).

• No left-order is finitely determined on the free group (McCleary; N), on free products (Rivas), on "nilpotent groups" (N), on "solvable groups" (Rivas-Tessera), amenable ?...

• New examples of "nontrivial" finitely determined orders (N, Ito, Dehornoy): the DINos

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

• The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).

• No left-order is finitely determined on the free group (McCleary; N), on free products (Rivas), on "nilpotent groups" (N), on "solvable groups" (Rivas-Tessera), amenable ?...

• New examples of "nontrivial" finitely determined orders (N, Ito, Dehornoy): the DINos (ex:  $\langle a, b, c : a = ba^2(b^2a^2)^qc, b = cba^2ba \rangle$ ).

Does the free group  $\mathbb{F}_2$  support a finitely determined bi-order ?

- The standard bi-order on Thompson's group F is finitely determined (N-Rivas).
- No left-order is finitely determined on the free group (McCleary; N), on free products (Rivas), on "nilpotent groups" (N), on "solvable groups" (Rivas-Tessera), amenable ?...
- New examples of "nontrivial" finitely determined orders (N, Ito, Dehornoy): the DINos (ex:  $\langle a, b, c : a = ba^2(b^2a^2)^qc, b = cba^2ba \rangle$ ).
- The Dehornoy order is not finitely determined (N; N-Wiest).

Actions coming from finitely determined orders are *structurally stable* in a very strong way:

Up to topological conjugacy, there is a unique action of  $B_3$  on the real line without global fixed points and for which the generators  $a_1 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2$  and  $a_2 = \sigma_2^{-1}$  send the origin into positive real numbers.

Actions coming from finitely determined orders are *structurally stable* in a very strong way:

Up to topological conjugacy, there is a unique action of  $B_3$  on the real line without global fixed points and for which the generators  $a_1 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2$  and  $a_2 = \sigma_2^{-1}$  send the origin into positive real numbers.

#### Theorem (Ghys; Ghys-Sergiescu)

Thompson's group T is globally structurally stable: up to topological conjugacy, it has a unique action on the circle.

Actions coming from finitely determined orders are *structurally stable* in a very strong way:

Up to topological conjugacy, there is a unique action of  $B_3$  on the real line without global fixed points and for which the generators  $a_1 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2$  and  $a_2 = \sigma_2^{-1}$  send the origin into positive real numbers.

#### Theorem (Ghys; Ghys-Sergiescu)

Thompson's group T is globally structurally stable: up to topological conjugacy, it has a unique action on the circle.

#### Question

Does there exist a finitely-generated, structurally-stable group of homeomorphisms of the sphere ?

This comes from the work on codimension-1 foliations Sacksteder, Plante, Thurston, Ghys, Tsuboi,... (inspired on Denjoy's work).

This comes from the work on codimension-1 foliations Sacksteder, Plante, Thurston, Ghys, Tsuboi,... (inspired on Denjoy's work).

### Theorem (Thurston)

Every nontrivial finitely-generated subgroup of  $\text{Diff}^1_+([0,1])$  admits a nontrivial homomorphism into  $\mathbb{R}$  (*i.e.*  $\text{Diff}^1_+([0,1])$  is *locally in-dicable*).

**"Proof":** Take  $g \mapsto \log(Dg(0))$ .

This comes from the work on codimension-1 foliations Sacksteder, Plante, Thurston, Ghys, Tsuboi,... (inspired on Denjoy's work).

### Theorem (Thurston)

Every nontrivial finitely-generated subgroup of  $\text{Diff}^1_+([0,1])$  admits a nontrivial homomorphism into  $\mathbb{R}$  (*i.e.*  $\text{Diff}^1_+([0,1])$  is *locally in-dicable*).

```
"Proof": Take g \mapsto \log(Dg(0)).
```

Local indicability does not hold for  $\operatorname{Homeo}_+([0,1])$  (even for the group of Lipschitz homeomorphisms). An example (also due to Thurston) is the lifting to  $\widetilde{\mathrm{PSL}}(2,\mathbb{R})$  of the (2,3,7)-triangle subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ .

# Local indicability



A tiling of the hyperbolic disk induced by the action of the (2,3,7)-triangle group.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

# Local indicability



A tiling of the hyperbolic disk induced by the action of the (2,3,7)-triangle group.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### Theorem (N)

Local indicability is not the only obstruction for embeddings into  $\mathrm{Diff}^1_+([0,1]).$ 



Notice that  $\operatorname{Diff}^{1+\alpha}(M)$  is a group:

$$\left\| Df(x) - Df(y) \right\| \leq C |x - y|^{\alpha}$$

(ロ)、



Notice that  $\text{Diff}^{1+\alpha}(M)$  is a group:

$$\left\| Df(x) - Df(y) \right\| \leq C|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

#### Theorem (N)

Every finitely-generated subgroup of  $\text{Diff}_{+}^{1+\alpha}([0,1])$  has either polynomial or exponential growth. This is false for  $\text{Diff}_{+}^{1}([0,1])$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Notice that  $\text{Diff}^{1+\alpha}(M)$  is a group:

$$\left\| Df(x) - Df(y) \right\| \leq C|x-y|^{lpha}$$

#### Theorem (N)

Every finitely-generated subgroup of  $\text{Diff}_{+}^{1+\alpha}([0,1])$  has either polynomial or exponential growth. This is false for  $\text{Diff}_{+}^{1}([0,1])$ .

• Exponential growth means that the number of elements that may be written as products of no more than *n* generators grows exponentially as a function of *n*.

Notice that  $\text{Diff}^{1+\alpha}(M)$  is a group:

$$\left\| Df(x) - Df(y) \right\| \leq C|x-y|^{lpha}$$

#### Theorem (N)

Every finitely-generated subgroup of  $\text{Diff}_{+}^{1+\alpha}([0,1])$  has either polynomial or exponential growth. This is false for  $\text{Diff}_{+}^{1}([0,1])$ .

• Exponential growth means that the number of elements that may be written as products of no more than *n* generators grows exponentially as a function of *n*.

• The class of groups of polynomial growth coincides with that of almost-nilpotent ones (Bass, Guivarch; Gromov).

# The Grigorchuk group: $G = \langle ar{a}, ar{b}, ar{c}, ar{d} angle$



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

# The Grigorchuk group: $G = \langle \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d} \rangle$



The generators of the Grigorchuk group

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

æ

Some formulae: for  $I_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$\bar{a}(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = (1 - l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots),$$

$$\bar{b}(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, \bar{a}(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 = 0, \\ (l_1, \bar{c}(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{c}(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, \bar{a}(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 = 1, \\ (l_1, \bar{d}(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{d}(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots), & l_1 = 0, \\ (l_1, \bar{b}(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# The Grigorchuk-Machi group: $GM = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$

Some formulae: for  $I_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$a(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = (1 + l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots),$$
  

$$b(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, a(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, c(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$
  

$$c(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, a(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, d(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$
  

$$d(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, b(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

# The Grigorchuk-Machi group: $GM = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$

Some formulae: for  $I_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$a(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = (1 + l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots),$$
  

$$b(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, a(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, c(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$
  

$$c(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, a(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, d(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$
  

$$d(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, b(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

• This is a torsion-free group of intermediate growth. It has a faithful action on a rooted tree for which every vertex different from the root has one ancestor and infinitely many descendants.

# The Grigorchuk-Machi group: $GM = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$

Some formulae: for  $I_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$a(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = (1 + l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots),$$
  

$$b(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, a(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, c(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$
  

$$c(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, a(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, d(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$
  

$$d(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, b(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

• This is a torsion-free group of intermediate growth. It has a faithful action on a rooted tree for which every vertex different from the root has one ancestor and infinitely many descendants.

• The natural action of GM on the interval is  $C^1$  smoothable.

# The Grigorchuk-Machi group: $\mathit{GM} = \langle {\it a}, {\it b}, {\it c}, {\it d} angle$

Some formulae: for  $I_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$a(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = (1 + l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots),$$
  

$$b(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, a(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, c(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$
  

$$c(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, a(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, d(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$
  

$$d(l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots) = \begin{cases} (l_1, l_2, l_3, \ldots), & l_1 \text{ even}, \\ (l_1, b(l_2, l_3, \ldots)), & l_1 \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

• This is a torsion-free group of intermediate growth. It has a faithful action on a rooted tree for which every vertex different from the root has one ancestor and infinitely many descendants.

- The natural action of GM on the interval is  $C^1$  smoothable.
- Nonexistence of embeddings group of intermediate growth (as for example GM) in  $\text{Diff}_{+}^{1+\alpha}([0,1])$  is established by using (nontrivial extensions) of classical techniques in 1-dimensional dynamics.

• Results that are sharp in what concerns (intermediate) regularity for some nilpotent group actions (Farb-Franks, Deroin-Kleptsyn-N, Castro-Jorquera-N,N).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• Results that are sharp in what concerns (intermediate) regularity for some nilpotent group actions (Farb-Franks, Deroin-Kleptsyn-N, Castro-Jorquera-N,N).

• Classification of solvable group actions (Burslem-Wilkinson, N, Bonatti-N-Rivas-Monteverde).

• Results that are sharp in what concerns (intermediate) regularity for some nilpotent group actions (Farb-Franks, Deroin-Kleptsyn-N, Castro-Jorquera-N,N).

- Classification of solvable group actions (Burslem-Wilkinson, N, Bonatti-N-Rivas-Monteverde).
- The "program" gets stuck when dealing with amenable groups.

• Results that are sharp in what concerns (intermediate) regularity for some nilpotent group actions (Farb-Franks, Deroin-Kleptsyn-N, Castro-Jorquera-N,N).

- Classification of solvable group actions (Burslem-Wilkinson, N, Bonatti-N-Rivas-Monteverde).
- The "program" gets stuck when dealing with amenable groups.

#### Question

Is Thompson's group F amenable ?

• Results that are sharp in what concerns (intermediate) regularity for some nilpotent group actions (Farb-Franks, Deroin-Kleptsyn-N, Castro-Jorquera-N,N).

- Classification of solvable group actions (Burslem-Wilkinson, N, Bonatti-N-Rivas-Monteverde).
- The "program" gets stuck when dealing with amenable groups.

#### Question

Is Thompson's group F amenable ?

• F -resp. T- can be realized as a group of  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphisms of the interval -resp. the circle- (Thurston, Ghys-Sergiescu).
# Actions of "small" groups

• Results that are sharp in what concerns (intermediate) regularity for some nilpotent group actions (Farb-Franks, Deroin-Kleptsyn-N, Castro-Jorquera-N,N).

- $\bullet$  Classification of solvable group actions (Burslem-Wilkinson, N, Bonatti-N-Rivas-Monteverde).
- The "program" gets stuck when dealing with amenable groups.

#### Question

Is Thompson's group F amenable ?

• F -resp. T- can be realized as a group of  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphisms of the interval -resp. the circle- (Thurston, Ghys-Sergiescu).

• Several announcements (including published papers with reviews) claiming for a proof or a disproof of amenability for F. There are even serious reasons to think that this problem may be undecidable.

If  $\Gamma$  is a finite-index subgroup of  $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ , then every action of  $\Gamma$  on  $S^1$  (resp. [0, 1]) has a finite image (resp. is trivial).

If  $\Gamma$  is a finite-index subgroup of  $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ , then every action of  $\Gamma$  on  $S^1$  (resp. [0, 1]) has a finite image (resp. is trivial).

This inspired important work of Ghys and Burger-Monod concerning  $C^1$  actions on  $S^1$  of lattices in higher-rank simple Lie groups.

If  $\Gamma$  is a finite-index subgroup of  $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ , then every action of  $\Gamma$  on  $S^1$  (resp. [0, 1]) has a finite image (resp. is trivial).

This inspired important work of Ghys and Burger-Monod concerning  $C^1$  actions on  $S^1$  of lattices in higher-rank simple Lie groups.

These groups satisfy Kazhdan's property (T): every action by isometries of an (affine) Hilbert space has a global fixed point.

If  $\Gamma$  is a finite-index subgroup of  $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ , then every action of  $\Gamma$  on  $S^1$  (resp. [0, 1]) has a finite image (resp. is trivial).

This inspired important work of Ghys and Burger-Monod concerning  $C^1$  actions on  $S^1$  of lattices in higher-rank simple Lie groups.

These groups satisfy Kazhdan's property (T): every action by isometries of an (affine) Hilbert space has a global fixed point.

In a certain (very precise) sense, most groups do satisfy Kazhdan's property (T).

#### Theorem (N)

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-generated subgroup of  $\rm Diff_+^{3/2}(S^1)$  having Kazhdan's property (T), then it is finite.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Theorem (N)

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-generated subgroup of  $\mathrm{Diff}^{3/2}_+(\mathrm{S}^1)$  having Kazhdan's property (T), then it is finite.

#### Corollary (N)

Thompson's group T does not satisfy Kazhdan's property (T).

### Theorem (N)

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-generated subgroup of  ${\rm Diff}_+^{3/2}({\rm S}^1)$  having Kazhdan's property (T), then it is finite.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### Theorem (N)

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-generated subgroup of  ${\rm Diff}_+^{3/2}({\rm S}^1)$  having Kazhdan's property (T), then it is finite.

"Proof": On  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathrm{S}^1 imes \mathrm{S}^1)$ , consider the isometries

 $g\mapsto U(g)+c(g),$  where

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Theorem (N)

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-generated subgroup of  ${\rm Diff}_+^{3/2}({\rm S}^1)$  having Kazhdan's property (T), then it is finite.

"**Proof**": On  $\mathcal{L}^2(S^1 \times S^1)$ , consider the isometries

 $g\mapsto U(g)+c(g),$  where

 $U(g)\xi(x,y) = \xi(g(x),g(y)) \cdot \sqrt{Dg(x)Dg(y)},$ 

#### Theorem (N)

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-generated subgroup of  ${\rm Diff}_+^{3/2}({\rm S}^1)$  having Kazhdan's property (T), then it is finite.

"Proof": On  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathrm{S}^1 imes \mathrm{S}^1)$ , consider the isometries

 $g \mapsto U(g) + c(g), \text{ where}$  $U(g)\xi(x, y) = \xi(g(x), g(y)) \cdot \sqrt{Dg(x)Dg(y)},$  $c(g)(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{Dg(x)Dg(y)}}{g(x) - g(y)} - \frac{1}{x - y}.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Theorem (N)

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-generated subgroup of  ${\rm Diff}_+^{3/2}({\rm S}^1)$  having Kazhdan's property (T), then it is finite.

"Proof": On  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathrm{S}^1 imes \mathrm{S}^1)$ , consider the isometries

$$g \mapsto U(g) + c(g), \text{ where}$$
  
 $U(g)\xi(x,y) = \xi(g(x),g(y)) \cdot \sqrt{Dg(x)Dg(y)},$   
 $c(g)(x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{Dg(x)Dg(y)}}{g(x) - g(y)} - \frac{1}{x - y}.$ 

A map g is projective iff the following holds for all x, y:

$$\frac{Dg(x)Dg(y)}{(g(x)-g(y))^2} = \frac{1}{(x-y)^2}.$$

#### Theorem (N)

If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely-generated subgroup of  ${\rm Diff}_+^{3/2}({\rm S}^1)$  having Kazhdan's property (T), then it is finite.

"**Proof**": On  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathrm{S}^1 \times \mathrm{S}^1)$ , consider the isometries

$$g \mapsto U(g) + c(g), \text{ where}$$
$$U(g)\xi(x, y) = \xi(g(x), g(y)) \cdot \sqrt{Dg(x)Dg(y)},$$
$$c(g)(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{Dg(x)Dg(y)}}{g(x) - g(y)} - \frac{1}{x - y}.$$

The Schwarzian derivative of g equals

$$S(g)(x) = \frac{1}{6} \lim_{y \to x} \left[ \frac{Dg(x)Dg(y)}{(g(x) - g(y))^2} - \frac{1}{(x - y)^2} \right]$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 \_ のへぐ

### Some references



Monografias del IMCA

#### Grupos de Difeomorfismos del Círculo

Andrés Navas Universidad de Chile

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

#### REVUE INTERNATIONALE

SUNDER STOLEN.

Organo afficid de la Commission internationale de l'Enseignement Mathématique

> 2e SÉRIE TOME 47 - FASCICULE 3 - 4 Infla-Alcenter 200

> > CENTRE OFFICE

10110-011-0101

Chicago Lectures in Mathematics Series

# Groups of Circle Diffeomorphisms

Andrés Navas

### Some references



Groups, Orders, and Dynamics Bertrand Deroin Andrés Navas Cristóbal Rivas

#### Ordered Groups and Topology

Adam Clay Dale Rolfsen

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



(日)、(四)、(E)、(E)、(E)

Scientific Committee: Danny Calegari, U. Chicago Andrés Navas, USACH Luis Paris, U. Bourgogne Dale Rolfsen, U. British Columbia

Organizing Committee: Matthieu Calvez, USACH Andrés Navas, USACH Cristóbel Rivas, USACH

# MUCHAS GRACIAS

# MANY THANKS

# MUITO OBRIGADO