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## Theorem (Patrick Dehornoy)

$B_{n}$ is left-orderable.
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No: the Dehornoy order is an accummulation point of its own orbit.

Proof [N-Wiest]. For $n=3$ : the conjugates $\left(\preceq_{D}\right)_{h_{j}}$ accummulate $\preceq_{D}$, where $h_{j}=\sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{2}^{j}$.
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- If $w$ is 2-positive: $w=\sigma_{2}^{k}(k>0)$, then...
- Finally, $\left(\prec_{D}\right)_{j}$ is different from $\prec_{D}$ for all positive integers $j$, since its smallest positive element is the conjugate of $\sigma_{2}$ by $\sigma_{2}^{-j} \sigma_{1}$, and this is different from $\sigma_{2}$ (the smallest element of $\preceq_{D}$ ).
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For many geodesics $\Gamma$, this gives a total (left-invariant) ordering (se so-called Nielsen-Thurston ordering associated to $\Gamma$ ).
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Pure braid groups are bi-orderable.

## Theorem (Rhemtulla-Rolfsen, Dubrovina-Dubrovin)

For $n \geq 5$, no bi-order o $P B_{n}$ extends into a left-order of $B_{n}$.

- If a left-order restricted to a finite-index subgroup is Conradian, then it is Conradian.
- For $n \geq 5$, the commutator subgroup $B_{n}^{\prime}$ is (finitely-generated and) perfect (hence $B_{n}$ is not locally indicable).
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